

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
MEDFORD DIVISION

DENNIS RUSSELL HOOPER,

Plaintiff,

1:13-cv-1400-CL

**ORDER**

v.

JACKSON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE,  
et al.,

Defendants.

---

**PANNER, J.**

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed a Report and Recommendation, and the matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Although no objections have been filed, I review legal principles *de novo*. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007).

I agree with Magistrate Judge Clarke that plaintiff has failed to state a claim based on the alleged failure to


reimburse. I also agree that plaintiff's other claims against the Jackson County Sheriff's Office, liberally construed, should not be dismissed at this time.

**CONCLUSION**

Magistrate Judge Clarke's Report and Recommendation (#31) is adopted. Defendants' motion to dismiss (#25) is granted as to the claim for failure to reimburse and otherwise denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 20 day of May, 2014.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Owen M. Panner", written over a horizontal line.

OWEN M. PANNER  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE